

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the application of)
Tarbotton et al.) Examiner: Simitoski, Michael J.
Application No. 09/809,073)
Filed: 03/16/2001) Art Unit: 2134
For: MECHANISMS FOR BANNING) Atty. Docket No.
COMPUTER PROGRAMS FROM USE) NAI1P458/00.164.01
Date: 10/18/2007

)

Issue Fee Payment Transmittal

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith are the following items:

- 1) Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance
- 2) Part B- Issue Fee Transmittal

Respectfully submitted,
Zilka-Kotab, PC

/KEVINZILKA/

Kevin J. Zilka
Reg. No. 41,429

P.O. Box 721120
San Jose, CA 95172-1120
(408) 971-2573

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the application of)
) Examiner: Simitoski, Michael J.
Tarbotton et al.)
) Art Unit: 2134
Application No. 09/809,073)
) Atty. Docket No.
Filed: 03/16/2001) NAIIP458/00.164.01
)
For: MECHANISMS FOR BANNING) Date: 10/18/2007
 COMPUTER PROGRAMS FROM USE)
)
)

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Notice of Allowance mailed 09/20/2007, please enter the following:

REMARKS

The Examiner has stated in the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance, in part, the following: “[r]egarding claim 40, the prior art of record fails to teach or disclose...wherein said anti computer virus logic responds to an absence of said user generated banned program identifying data by performing at least one of: (i) issuing an alert message indicating an absence of said user generated banned program identifying data, (ii) restoring said user generated banned program identifying data from a remote source, (iii) disabling a computer upon which said anti computer virus logic is executing, in combination with the other elements of the claims” (emphasis added).

In response, applicant points out that Claim 40 is not necessarily limited to at least some of the emphasized features that the Examiner has highlighted above. Just by way of example, Claim 40 is not necessarily limited to “anti computer virus logic [that] responds to an absence of said user generated banned program identifying data” (emphasis added), as the Examiner notes.

Clearly, at least some of the independent claims are not necessarily limited to the features that the Examiner has noted above in the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance, as emphasized above (by way of example only). Instead, each of the claims should only be limited by the language existing therein.

In the event a telephone conversation would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner may reach the undersigned at (408) 505-5100. For payment of

the fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, the Commissioner is authorized to charge such fees to Deposit Account No. 50-1351 (Order No. NAI1P458).

Respectfully submitted,
Zilka-Kotab, P.C.

/KEVINZILKA/

Kevin J. Zilka
Registration No. 41,429

P.O. Box 721120
San Jose, CA 95172-1120
Telephone: (408) 505-5100